

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,130	07/24/2001	Boris Felts	PHFR 000076	4032	
24737 75	24737 7590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			AN, SH.	AN, SHAWN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NT 10510			2613	Ø	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	4 <i>0</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.00	09/912,130	FELTS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shawn S An	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5.6</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				



Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pearlman et al (6,671,413 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Pearlman et al discloses an encoding method comprising a 3-D wavelet transform having successive resolution levels based on the SPIHT (col. 5, lines 3-15), and leading pixels of the video sequence to wavelet transform coefficients with a binary format, the coefficients being organized in trees and ordered in partitioning subsets corresponding to 3 ordered lists called LIS, LIP, and LSP (abs.; col. 5, lines 30-53), the tests being carried out in order to divide the original set of pixels into the partitioning subsets according to a division process until each significant coefficient is encoded within binary representation, and sign bits also put in the output bitstream to be transmitted (Fig. 2A), the method for the estimation of the probabilities of occurrence



Art Unit: 2613

of the symbols 0 and 1 in the each level of significance, four models represented by four context trees are considered (Fig. 4), and a further distinction is made between models for the Y, U, and V (col. 17, lines 1-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearlman et al (6,671,413 B1).

Regarding claim 2, since Pearlman et al teaches 3-D wavelet transform being employed to video, specifically the luminance and two chrominance components, it would have been considered an obvious concept to derive a structure depending on the type of symbols, coming from LIS, LIP, LSP or sign bitmap on the color plane Y, U, V, and on the frame temporal subband, which is well known in the art, for an effective 3-D wavelet transform encoding process.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim 1, but would be allowable: if claim 3 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 1 and any intervening claims.

Dependent claim 3, recites the novel feature, wherein a representation of the contexts is a 3-D structure CONTEXT filled with the sequences of d last bits examined in each case:

CONTEXT [TYPE] [CHROMA] [N degree frame] where TYPE is LIP_TYPE, LIS_TYPE, LSP_TYPE, or SIGN_TYPE, and chroma stands for Y, U, or V.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,130

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- A) Pearlman et al (6,208,379 B1), N-dimensional data compression using set partitioning in hierarchical trees.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Shawn S An** whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The Examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SSA CHUMNICAN AND CONTENT AND

Primary Patent Examiner

2/5/04